# Why We Should Abolish the Electoral College 

## And How to Do It

LWVs of Illinois, Wilmette, Deerfield/Lincolnshire,
La Grange Area, Oak Park-River Forest, Arlington Heights/Mt.Prospect/Buffalo Grove, Lake Forest/Lake Bluff Area, GlenviewGlencoe, Highland Park/Highwood, Northwest Lake

County, and Roselle/Bloomingdale.


Nonpartisan political organization.
Informed and active participation in government.
Increase understanding of public policy issues.
Education and advocacy.


# LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS ${ }^{\circledR}$ 

The League of Women
Voters believes that the Electoral College should be abolished in favor of a direct popular vote.

## Why did the framers of the Constitution create the Electoral College?

## Why did the framers of the Constitution create the Electoral College?

They did not want federal legislators selecting the president.
$>$ Limit corruption and concentration of power
$>$ Independence between the executive and legislative branches

## Why not direct election by the people?

$>$ Poor communication might lead to ill-informed population.
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$>$ Enslaved people would count as "three-fifths of a person" in determining number of electors.

## Why not direct election by the people?

$>$ Poor communication might lead to ill-informed population.
$>$ Enslaved people would count as "three-fifths of a person" in determining number of electors.
$>$ "The second choice of many but the first choice of few."

## What did the framers intend?

$>$ Most intended for the selection of the president to be based on the popular wishes of the citizenry.

## What did the framers intend?

$>$ Most intended for the selection of the president to be based on the popular wishes of the citizenry.
$>$ But also expected the electors to exercise their own discretion. (When they have done so, the people have been displeased.)

## None of the framers' concerns are relevant today

$>$ Information is widely available to citizens.
$>$ Congressional selection of the President is not under consideration.
$>$ The people do not want electors exercising their own discretion (and electors almost never do).

## Why Abolish the Electoral College?

One-person, one-vote is fundamental to democracy.


Resizia


## Recognize These States?



Does modern media make this irrelevant?


## Why Bother Voting?



## The Electoral College Decreases Voter Turnout

## 2016 Voter Turnout



## The Electoral College Polarizes Us



## A United Vote: 1984

Reagan 54,455,000 votes Mondale 37,577,000 votes

## A Divided Vote: 1984




## A United Vote: 2012

Obama 65,916,000 votes
Romney 60,934,000 votes

## A United Vote: 2004

Bush 62,041,000 votes Kerry 59,028,000 votes

## A United Vote



## An Inaccurate Vote

The popular vote winner has lost the presidency five times.


Busking che myths

## Myth \#1

Without the Electoral College, our presidents would all be chosen by a couple of big states like California, New York, and Texas.

Contribution to the total vote if each state voted 60-40\% all for the same candidate and we elected the president by national popular vote
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Contribution to the total vote if each state voted 60-40\% all for the same candidate and we elected the president by national popular vote


Would need 27 states to get to 51\% IF they all voted for the SAME candidate by a $20-\mathrm{PO}$ INT margin!
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## Fact:

Votes from ALL states would be necessary to win the presidency.

Election by popular vote means all votes count.

## 32\% of California Voters Chose Trump in 2016



## But the Electoral College Erased Them from the Total



National Popular Vote by Person, Not by State


## Myth \#2

Smaller, less populated states need the protection of the
Electoral College to ensure their interests are represented by the President.

## Fact:

There is no coherent "small state" or "large state" interest that needs protecting. All of our states have diverse interests.

## All of our states have diverse interests



## Most farmers live in large states



Billy Hathorn


Mohamed Amarochan


Jakec

## Our political interests cross state lines.



Marion S. Trikosko, U.S. News \& World Report Magazine

## Small States Do Not Vote as a Bloc



## Candidates focus their time in "swing states," not small states.



## Fact:

## Residents of LARGER states lose their rightful representation under the Electoral College.

## Virginia has 9x more voters than Delaware...



Virginia has 6,027,262 voters

Delaware has $\mathbf{6 8 9}, 125$ voters

## ...but only gets $4 x$ as many electors.



Virginia gets 13 electors


Delaware gets 3 electors

## Myth \#3

The Electoral College protects the rights of the minority from the tyranny of the majority.



## Fact:

The rights of minority groups are protected by the Courts and the Senate.

## Myth \#4

Abolishing the Electoral College will mostly benefit Democratic candidates.


## Winner: <br> George Bush

Bush received 3.01 million more votes than Kerry


Voters of both parties feel their votes do not count.


## Fact:

The Electoral College can, and has, hurt Republicans and well as Democrats.

This is a non-partisan issue.

## How do we abolish the Electoral College?

Urge our legislators to introduce and co-sponsor a constitutional amendment.

Proposing an amendment to the Constitution of the United States to abolish the electoral college and to provide for the direct popular election of the President and Vice President of the United States.

House Joint Resolution 7
Introduced by Rep. Steve Cohen of Tennessee Jan. 3, 2019.
Senate Joint Resolution 17
introduced by Sen. Brian Schatz of Hawail Apr. 2, 2019.


## Timeline of Constitutional Amendments




## The National Popular Vote Interstate Compact

## What about proportional representation by state?




Third Parties Make it Hard for One Candidate to Reach 270 Electoral Votes with Proportional System


## Example: 1968 Election



## "Winner Take All" vs. Proportional Allocation

| Popular Vote | Winner Take All |  | Proportional <br> Allocation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nixon <br> (R) | Humphrey <br> (D) | Wallace <br> (AIP) | Nixon <br> (R) | Humphrey <br> (D) | Wallace <br> (AIP) | Nixon <br> (R) | Humphrey <br> (D) | Wallace <br> (AIP) |
|  | $55 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $10 \%$ | 7 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## "Winner Take All" vs. Proportional Allocation

|  | Popular Vote |  |  | Winner Take All |  | Proportional <br> Allocation |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nixon <br> (R) | Humphrey <br> (D) | Wallace <br> (AIP) | Nixon <br> (R) | Humphrey <br> (D) | Wallace <br> (AIP) | Nixon <br> (R) | Humphrey <br> (D) | Wallace <br> (AIP) |
| KS | $55 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $10 \%$ | 7 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 1 |
| IL | $47 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $8 \%$ | 26 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 12 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## "Winner Take All" vs. Proportional Allocation

|  | Popular Vote |  |  | Winner Take All |  |  | Proportional <br> Allocation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nixon <br> (R) | Humphrey <br> (D) | Wallace <br> (AIP) | Nixon <br> (R) | Humphrey <br> (D) | Wallace <br> (AIP) |  <br> ixon <br> (R) | Humphrey <br> (D) | Wallace <br> (AIP) |
| KS | $55 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $10 \%$ | 7 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 1 |
| IL | $47 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $8 \%$ | 26 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 12 | 2 |
| FL | $41 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $29 \%$ | 14 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 4 |
| Etc. | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |

## Proportional Allocation = No Winner



## It's time for change.



## Constitutional Amendments Happen!



## What you can do now

Spread the word! Find materials on our website: Iwvwilmette.org/electoral-college-reform.html

Email us for more information/updates: abolishelectoral@gmail.com

## Follow us on Facebook:

 facebook.com/abolishelectoralcollegelwxTalk to your senators, congressional reps or candidates

Help with printing costs and other expenses

## https://fivethirtyeight.com/features/can-we-tell-which-states-will-be-swing-states-innovember/

